

SUSE Security Process

An overview on technical level

Marcus Meißner
Teamlead SUSE Security
meissner@suse.de



Novell.



SUSE Security Team

- Tasks:
 - Incident handling
 - Proactive work (auditing, design reviews)
 - Research and Integration of new technologies
- Focus on OpenSource parts of the Linux product lines
- Tightly cooperating with:
 - R&D, QA, NTS, Maintenance, Customers



Security Work - what is it?

- not cool
- no fun
- does not make you popular
- tiring work



Security Problems over time

- Buffer overflows
- Format string problems
- Integer overflows (Buffer overflows strike back)
- Last 2 years:
 - image processing libraries
 - problems in web applications
- This year:
 - problems in web applications
- Problem:
 - more and more code operates on data from the Internet
 - applications grow and grow and grow



Non Incident Work

Audit security relevant packages

- network and system daemons, setuid binaries
- design of new technologies like D-BUS
- other security critical packages

Deploy automated measures

Develop new technologies

Educate

- write papers
- hold lectures on security topics

Research

- research into new technologies and attack vectors



Making code harder to exploit

- Overflow checking / mitigation:
 - `-D_FORTIFY_SOURCE=2` (default on 10.0, 10.1...)
 - `-fstack-protector`
 - heap structure validation
 - mangling of pointers that live in dangerous areas
 - randomizing address space
- Automated code checking
 - Annoying gcc warnings
 - 3rd party tools
- Force^WTeach people to write better code



Confinement

- No SELinux here
 - nice idea and formal approach
 - too complicated to setup for both user and admin
- AppArmor
 - access restrictions on application level
 - confines file access, capabilities, program starts
 - globbing and wildcards possible
 - no all-or-nothing approach like SELinux
 - light version on 10.0, full OpenSource now
 - LSM soon in mainline kernel



Product lines

- SUSE Linux (Retail , Box)
 - 2 years supported, gets security and critical bugfixes
 - released every 6 months
 - 4 - 5 active at every time
- SUSE Linux Enterprise Server
 - 5 years regular maintenance (+2 years extended)
 - longer release cycles
 - currently: SLES 8, SLES 9, SLD 1, NLD 9, OES
 - Soon: SLES 10, SLED 10
 - Active: 2 major products, 3 derivated products
- 5 different main codestreams (+ derivates)



Incident Handling - Entering SUSE

Getting knowledge of security problem

- public mailinglists
- closed forums (cross vendor coordination)
- new package releases
- our own security audits
- reports to contact address (security@suse.de)

Tracking

- discard, if affected package is not in active products
- discard, if affected package version is not in active products
- open a Bugzilla entry



Incident Handling - Tracking

Bugzilla

- Is our incident tracking tool
- Security Team adds initial information to new bugreports:
 - detailed description
 - Vulnerability IDs (CVE, VU#, ...)
 - affected package versions and products
 - patch(es) to fix issue (if any)
 - sample exploit(s) (if any)
 - decision on whether to fix for older products or not
- Assigned to packager
- Assisting with finding patches, fixing and priority



Incident Handling - Fixed Packages

Package maintainer work

- Reviews fixes and affected products
- Submits fixed packages (source) for buildsystem
- Source level patch review is done by Buildsystem Team
- Buildsystem Team checks package into package repository of old products

Buildsystem

- Consistency checks during build
- Automated rebuilding all dependend packages
- No fixed (bitwise same) binaries due to rebuilds



Incident Handling - Patchset Creation

Creating the patch set:

- accompanies fixed package up to release
- tracked by SWAMP (SUSE Workflow management tool)
- created by Security Team
 - what packages, what distributions
 - description
 - optional pre or post installation messages
 - links back to Bugzilla and SWAMP
- meta patchfile gets checked into buildsystem
 - collects RPMs out of current state of buildsystem and fixates them
 - prepares the patchset the customer will see for QA



Incident Handling - QA

QA

- Uses created patchset
- Check reproducibility of available exploits
- Applies patches just like customer would, from
 - YaST Online Update for SUSE Linux and SLES
 - Red Carpet / ZLM for OES and NLD
- System integration QA (checking RPM dependencies)
- Component Integration QA
 - Package testcases are run (automated and manual)
 - rerun exploit
- process goes back to packager if QA fails



Incident Handling - Release

Not before:

- coordinated disclosure date
- QA approval

On approval:

- patch is copied to staging infrastructure in the same way as for QA
- no further manual steps
- NTS reviews documentation and publishes TID article

Security advisory released



How can you help?

- User / Administrators
 - Install Security Updates
 - Report crashes in Applications
 - Monitor your servers
- Developer
 - Program safely
 - use better languages
 - security conscious design



Its all about certification.

- Security - not a feature, but a process
- Certification describes configurations:
 - profiles defining scenarios of users and attackers
 - versions of installed software
 - content of configurations files
 - hardware
- and processes:
 - security handling during the product lifecycle
 - documentation
 - physical security



Languages

- C
- C++
- Managed Languages and Environments
 - Java
 - C#
- Script
 - perl
 - php